



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

JUAN CRUZ,	§	
Petitioner,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:07-1060-HFF-JRM
	§	
JOHN LAMANA, Warden of FCI-Edgefield,	§	
Respondent.	§	

ORDER

This case was filed as a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 action. Petitioner is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Respondent's motion for summary judgment be granted, and the petition dismissed without an evidentiary hearing. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on February 6, 2008. Subsequently, on February 25, 2008, the Clerk of Court filed Petitioner's objections. The Court has reviewed the objections, but finds them to be without merit. Therefore, the Court will enter judgment accordingly.

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court that Respondent's motion for summary judgment be **GRANTED**, and the petition **DISMISSED** without an evidentiary hearing.*

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 26th day of February, 2008, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd
HENRY F. FLOYD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within 60 days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

*Alternatively, the Court would grant Respondent's motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies.